

### **Nonlinear field-line wandering**

An improved nonlinear formulation for field-line random walk in magnetostatic turbulence has been developed in (Shalchi & Kourakis, 2007). This approach is a direct generalization of the diffusion theory proposed earlier by Matthaeus et al. (1995). For a diffusive behaviour of field-lines, the theories coincide. However, the new theory can also be applied in non-diffusive transport cases.

For the purpose of modelling field-line random walk, the turbulence model adopted has to be specified in terms of the magnetic correlation tensor  $P_{ij}(\vec{k}) = \langle \delta B_i(\vec{k}) \delta B_j^*(\vec{k}) \rangle$ . According to Bieber et al. (1994), the so-called slab/2D composite model is a realistic model for solar wind turbulence. Within the two-component model the correlation tensor has the form:  $P_{xx}(\vec{k}) = P_{xx}^{slab}(\vec{k}) + P_{xx}^{2D}(\vec{k})$  with  $P_{xx}^{slab}(\vec{k}) = g^{slab}(k_{\parallel})\delta(k_{\perp})/k_{\perp}$ and  $P_{xx}^{2D}(\vec{k}) = g^{2D}(k_{\perp})\delta(k_{\parallel})k_{u}^{2}/k_{\perp}^{3}$ . For the two wave spectra  $g^{slab}(k_{\parallel})$  and  $g^{2D}(k_{\perp})$ , we employ the standard form (see Bieber et al. 1994)

$$g^{slab}(k_{\parallel}) = \frac{C(\nu)}{2\pi} l_{slab} \delta B_{slab}^2 (1 + k_{\parallel}^2 l_{slab}^2)^{-\nu}$$
  
$$g^{2D}(k_{\perp}) = \frac{2C(\nu)}{\pi} l_{2D} \delta B_{2D}^2 (1 + k_{\perp}^2 l_{2D}^2)^{-\nu}$$
(1)

where we have defined the constant  $C(\nu) = \Gamma(\nu)/(2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu - 1))$ 1/2), the slab- and 2D bendover scales  $l_{slab}$  and  $l_{2D}$ , the strength of the turbulent fields  $\delta B_{slab}$  and  $\delta B_{2D}$ , and the inertialrange spectral index  $2\nu$ .

It can easily be demonstrated that, for pure slab geometry, magnetic field-line wandering behaves diffusively:

$$\left\langle \left(\Delta x(z)\right)^2 \right\rangle_{|z| \to \infty} \approx 2\kappa_{FL} \mid z \mid,$$
 (2)

for large |z|. A number of previous papers (e. g. Matthaeus et al. 1995) have relied on the *ad hoc* assumption that Eq. (2) is also valid in two-component turbulence. However, a rigorous formulation of field-line random walk leads to

$$\left\langle (\Delta x(z))^2 \right\rangle_{|z| \to \infty} = \left( 9\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}C(\nu) \right)^{2/3} \left( \frac{\delta B_{2D}}{B_0} \right)^{4/3} l_{2D}^2 \left( \frac{|z|}{l_{2D}} \right)^{4/3}$$
(3)

(Shalchi & Kourakis 2007). The only assumptions which have been applied to derive this result are Corrsin's independence hypothesis (Corrsin 1959) and the assumption of a Gaussian distribution of field-lines.

> The content of this poster will be published in: Shalchi, A. & Kourakis, I., submitted to Physics of Plasmas (2007); e-print at: http://arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/0703366. Shalchi, A. & Kourakis, I., Astronomy & Astrophysics, in press (2007). The exact references cited in the text above can be found therein. For further contact and/or reprint requests: ate@tp4.rub.de.

# **Nonlinear Field-Line-Random-Walk and Generalized Compound Diffusion of Charged Particles**

A. Shalchi<sup>\*,1</sup> & I. Kourakis<sup>\*\*, 1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut für Theoretische Physik, Lehrstuhl IV, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 44780 Bochum, Germany \* Email: ate@tp4.rub.de; \*\* Email: ioannis@tp4.rub.de, www.tp4.rub.de/~ioannis

## Generalized compound-diffusion of charged particles

By assuming that the particles (or, more precisely, their guiding-centers) follow the magnetic field-lines (guiding center approximation) we can formulate a relation between the perpendicular MSD of the charged particle ( $\langle (\Delta x(t))^2 \rangle_{D}$ ) and the MSD of the field-lines  $(\langle (\Delta x(z))^2 \rangle_{EI})$ 

$$\left\langle \left(\Delta x(t)\right)^2 \right\rangle_P = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz \,\left\langle \left(\Delta x(z)\right)^2 \right\rangle_{FL} f_P(z,t) \,.$$
 (4)

Here  $f_P(z,t)$  denotes the particle distribution in the direction parallel to the background field.

A standard assumption in cosmic ray transport theory is the assumption of a Gaussian particle distribution

$$f_P(z,t) = \left(2\pi \left\langle \left(\Delta z(t)\right)^2 \right\rangle_P\right)^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2\left\langle \left(\Delta z(t)\right)^2 \right\rangle_P}}.$$
 (5)

Using Eq. (3) for the field-line MSD in combination with Eq. (5) for the particle distribution, we can evaluate Eq. (4) as

$$\left\langle (\Delta x)^2 \right\rangle_P = \alpha(\nu) \left( \frac{\delta B_{2D}}{B_0} \right)^{4/3} \left[ l_{2D} \left\langle (\Delta z(t))^2 \right\rangle_P \right]^{2/3}.$$
 (6)

with

$$\alpha(\nu) = \frac{\Gamma(7/6)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( 18\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}C(\nu) \right)^{2/3}.$$
 (7)

A (time-dependent) diffusion coefficient, as obtained from testparticle simulations, can be defined as  $\kappa_{xx}(t) = \langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle / (2t)$ . In general, one may adopt the assumption  $\langle (\Delta z(t))^2 \rangle_P \sim$  $t^{b_{\parallel}+1}$ , implying a parallel diffusion coefficient  $\kappa_{zz} \sim t^{b_{\parallel}}$ . Assuming  $\kappa_{xx} \sim t^{b_{\perp}}$ , it is straightforward to find from Eq. (6) the relation

$$b_{\perp} = \frac{2b_{\parallel} - 1}{3}.$$
 (8)

Therefore, knowledge of  $b_{\parallel}$  (e.g., from simulation data) leads to an evaluation of  $b_{\perp}$ , within this model. For instance if parallel transport behaves diffusively ( $b_{\parallel} = 0$ ) we find  $b_{\perp} = -1/3$ (*subdiffusion*). A diffusive behaviour of perpendicular transport  $(b_{\perp} = 0)$  can only be obtained for  $b_{\parallel} = 1/2$  (superdiffusion).

### **Test particle simulations**

We have investigated test-particle dynamics for the following set of parameters:  $l_{2D} = 0.1 l_{slab}$ ,  $\nu = 5/6$ , and 20%/80%

slab/2D composite geometry. In Fig. 1, we have depicted the ratio of perpendicular and parallel diffusion coefficients  $\kappa_{xx}/\kappa_{zz}$ as a function of the dimensionless time  $\tau = vt/l_{slab}$  for dimensionless cosmic ray rigidity value  $R = R_L/l_{slab} = 0.001$ .



A small value of R has been chosen, to ensure that the guidingcenter approximation is valid. The results of the Generalized Compound Diffusion (GCD) model are compared to those obtained from the NLGC- and ENLGC-theories (see Matthaeus et al. 2003, Shalchi 2006), and also to test-particle simulations. By assuming the simple form  $\tilde{\kappa}_{xx}(t) = a\tau^b$  we can deduce the time dependence from numerical data, by using b = $(\ln \tilde{\kappa}_{xx}(\tau) - \ln a) / \ln \tau \approx (\ln \tilde{\kappa}_{xx}(\tau)) / \ln \tau$  in the large time limit ( $\tilde{\kappa}_{xx}$  denotes the dimensionless diffusion coefficient obtained by the simulations).





coefficients are depicted in Fig. 2 for different values of the parameter R (R = 0.001 (red), R = 0.01 (blue), R = 0.1 (green)) in comparison to the theoretical result (dots).

4 tions

It is not a trivial task to compare our new (non-diffusive) result with solar wind observations. First, we replace the parallel mean square deviation in Eq. (6) by a diffusive behavior  $(\langle (\Delta z(t))^2 \rangle_P \approx 2\kappa_{\parallel} t)$  to get



One thus obtains for the (time-dependent) perpendicular diffusion coefficient

 $\kappa_{\perp}(t)$ 

get

 $\overline{\kappa}_{\perp} =$ 

By using  $\lambda_i = 3\kappa_i/v$ , we find an analytical expression for the perpendicular mean free path

For  $\nu = 5/6$  and  $\delta B_{2D}^2/B_0^2 = 0.8$ , as proposed by Bieber et al. (1994) we obtain

Palmer (1982) suggested that the parallel mean free path in the solar wind is  $0.08AU \leq \lambda_{\parallel,Palmer} \leq 0.3AU$  and the perpendicular mean free path is  $\lambda_{\perp,Palmer} \approx 0.007 AU$ . By taking the average value for the parallel mean free path  $\lambda_{\parallel,Palmer} \approx 0.2$ and by applying Eq. (13) we find  $\lambda_{\perp,GCD} \approx 0.009 AU$  (for  $l_{2D} = 0.1 l_{slab} \approx 0.003 AU$ ) which is close to the measured value. Obviously, there is a very good agreement between solar wind observations and our theoretical approach.



# **Comparison with solar wind observa-**

$$\Delta x)^2 \Big\rangle_P = \alpha(\nu) \left(\frac{\delta B_{2D}}{B_0}\right)^{4/3} \left(2l_{2D}\kappa_{\parallel}t\right)^{2/3}.$$
 (9)

$$= 2^{-1/3} \alpha(\nu) \left(\frac{\delta B_{2D}}{B_0}\right)^{4/3} \left(l_{2D} \kappa_{\parallel}\right)^{2/3} t^{-1/3}.$$
 (10)

To proceed, we average over the scattering time  $t_c = \lambda_{\parallel}/v$  to

$$\frac{3}{2^{4/3}}\alpha(\nu) \left(\frac{\delta B_{2D}}{B_0}\right)^{4/3} \left(l_{2D}\kappa_{\parallel}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{\nu}{\lambda_{\parallel}}\right)^{1/3} .$$
(11)

$$\overline{\lambda}_{\perp} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{4/3} \alpha(\nu) \left(\frac{\delta B_{2D}}{B_0}\right)^{4/3} l_{2D}^{2/3} \lambda_{\parallel}^{1/3}.$$
 (12)

$$\overline{\lambda}_{\perp} = 0.75 \ l_{2D}^{2/3} \ \lambda_{\parallel}^{1/3} \,. \tag{13}$$